## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

9669WO/AT	nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/PEA/416
International application No. PCT/EP2005/051218		International filing date (day/month/year) 16.03.2005 Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
International Pater INV. B25J9/16	nt Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC
Applicant ABB AB et al.		
1. This report Authority u	is the international pre oder Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination report, established by this international Preliminary Examining insmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
. IIIS MEPO	HI consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.
3. This report	is also accompanied b	by ANNEXES, comprising:
a. W. sent	to the applicant and to	o the International Bureau) a total of 2sheets, as follows:
<b>2</b>	and/or sheets containly Administrative Instruct	on, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this repo ng rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the ions).
□ s t	sheets which supersect beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goe In the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. 🗌 (sent	to the International Bi	ureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing les related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box og (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).
This report c	ontains indications rela	ating to the following Kerney
		ating to the following items:
Box No. I	Basis of the repo	·
Box No. I	Basis of the repo Priority	rt .
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial and the control of the contr
Box No. I  Box No. I  Box No. I	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. I Box No. V	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention sent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document Certain defects in	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention sent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement is cited the international application.
Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document Certain defects in	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention sent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention sent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement is cited the international application.
Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. V	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention sent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement is cited the international application ons on the international application
Box No. I Box No. I Box No. I Box No. V  te of submission of .10.2005	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation the demand  ress of the international authority:	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention nent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement is cited the international application on the international application  Date of completion of this report
Box No. I  Box No. I  Box No. I  Box No. V  Abor Box No. V  comparison of the of submission	Basis of the repo Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in Reasoned statem applicability; citati Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation The demand  Tress of the international authority: In Patent Office	ant of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability evention sent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ones and explanations supporting such statement is cited the international application ones on the international application  Date of completion of this report  04.07.2006  Authorized officer

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

IAP12 Rec'd PCT/PTO 18 SEP 2006
International application No.
PCT/EP2003/03/25 93 272

	В	ox No. I	Basis of the report		
1	. w	ith recen	d to the language, this report is based on		
			•		
	Ø	the int	ernational application in the language in which it was filed		
		or a tre	station of the international application into , which is the language unstation furnished for the purposes of:		
		<ul> <li>□ international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))</li> <li>□ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))</li> <li>□ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))</li> </ul>			
2	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets we have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the report as *originally filed* and are not annexed to this report);				
	Description, Pages				
	1-15		as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-4		received on 30.01.2006 with letter of 26.01.2006		
	Dra	Orawings, Sheets			
	1/5-	5.5	as originally filed		
		a seque	nce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		The am	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		☐ the d	lescription, pages laims, Nos.		
		the d	ravings, sheets/figs		
		☐ the s	equence listing (specify):		
		⊔ any t	able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	had	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		☐ the de	escription, pages aims, Nos.		
		☐ the di	awings, sheets/figs		
		□ the se	equence listing (specify):		
			able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	*	If item	4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/051218

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-4

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-4

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-4

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Box No. Vill Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP 0521440 A (Kabushiki Kaisha Daihen) - 7 January 1993

In their present state, the independent claims 1 and 3 of the application lack clarity, as explained in Item VIII below. The following statement is therefore made on the basis of an interpretation of these claims and their future patentability (eg when entering the European regional phase) is subject to necessary clarifications.

### 2. Independent claim 3: novelty

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 3.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the method known in D1 in that it comprises the following steps:

- (I) selecting one of the manipulators as a leading manipulator,
- (ii) creating a memory list including all manipulators that are to be moved synchronously with the leading manipulator.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

### 3. Independent claim 3: inventive step

The features (I) and (ii) above allow to control a system comprising **several** manipulators having to be moved synchronously with a leading manipulator. Moreover, it is possible to **switch** the leading manipulator. This can for example be useful if the user wants to always select as leading manipulator the manipulator

which in the best position in his/her field of view.

The system described in D1 is restricted to two industrial robots, the first one carrying a workpiece and the second one having a tool. The leading robot is predetermined and cannot be changed. Therefore, it would not be obvious for the skilled person to modify the system disclosed in D1 so as to arrive at a system according to claim 3.

The subject-matter of claim 3 consequently involves an inventive step.

### 4. Independent claim 1: novelty and inventive step

Claim 1 has been considered as having the same scope as claim 3 (see Item VIII below). Its subject-matter therefore also meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT concerning novelty and inventive step.

### 5. Dependent claims

Claim 2, respectively claim 4, is dependent on claim 1, respectively on claim 3, and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

### 6. Industrial applicability

The claims 1 to 4 satisfy the criteria of industrial applicability as defined for the purposes of the international preliminary examination in Article 33(4) PCT.

#### Re Item VII

## Certain defects in the international application

Figure 5/5 only contains text matter, contrary to the requirements of Rule 11.11(a) PCT. As this text is also a part of the description (see page 13), figure 5/5 should merely be

suppressed.

#### Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application (clarity)

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT. The reasons for this objection are the following:

- 1. Although claim 1 has been drafted as a product claim, its characterizing portion only contains method steps. It is not clear which technical means (i.e. which parts of the system) allow to perform these steps. Moreover, no example of such means is given in the description, which only mentions method steps. It seems therefore not possible to obtain a clear product claim and claim 1 has been considered as having exactly the same scope as the method claim 3.
- 2. In claim 3, reference is made to the relative positions of the second coordinate systems. It is however not clear how these relative positions are originally determined. It appears therefore essential to mention explicitly in claim 3 that these relative positions have to be defined before starting the command of the robot. The following step should therefore be added in claim 3 after the step "creating a memory list [...]":

placing the manipulators in a work position so as to define the position of the second coordinate systems of the manipulators that are to be moved relative to the second coordinate system of the leading manipulator;

A support for this feature can be found in the description, see page 11, lines 28 to 30.

#### CLAIMS

20

25

30

35

- A system including at least two manipulators (1,2,3), namely robots (1,2) and/or external axes (3), each manipulator controlled by a control system and programmed to carry out a plurality of tasks, the system comprising a handheld control tool for manually manipulating the manipulators, said hand held control tool comprising communication means communicating with the control system characterized in that each manipulator is movably oriented in a first coordinate system (4), and a second coordinate system (5, 6, 55) is defined for each manipulator so that one part of said manipulator stands still in the second coordinate system, and that each second coordinate system is movable relative to the first coordinate system, the system is adapted to:
  - select one of said manipulators as a leading manipulator,
- create a memory list including all manipulators that are to be moved synchronously with the leading manipulator,
  - receive a movement command from the hand held control tool,
  - create a move order for the leading manipulator, based on the received movement command and the current position of the leading manipulator,
  - create move orders for the remaining manipulators in the memory list, such that said parts of the manipulators, which stand still in the second coordinate systems, are moved such that they keep their relative positions relative to the second coordinate system of the leading manipulator.
  - 2. A system according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized** in that said hand held control tool comprises a manipulator input means in a form of a joystick.
- 3. A method for controlling a system of manipulators including at least two manipulators (1, 2, 3), namely robots (1,2) and/or external axes (3), each manipulator controlled by a control system and programmed to carry out a plurality of tasks, wherein each manipulator is movably oriented in a first coordinate system (4), the system comprising a handheld control tool for manually manipulating the manipulators, said hand held control tool

comprising communication means communicating with the control system characterized in that the method comprises:

- defining a second coordinate system (5, 6, 55) for each manipulator so that one part of said manipulator stands still in the second coordinate system, and that each second coordinate system is movable relative to the first coordinate system.
- selecting one of said manipulators as a leading manipulator,
- creating a memory list including all manipulators that are to be moved synchronously with the leading manipulator,
- 10 receiving a movement command from the hand held control tool,
  - creating a move order for the leading manipulator, based on the received movement command and the current position of the leading manipulator, and
- creating move orders for the remaining manipulators in the memory list, such that said parts of the manipulators, which stand still in the second coordinate systems, are moved such that they keep their relative positions relative to the second coordinate system of the leading manipulator.
- 4. A method according to claim 3, wherein said hand held control tool comprises a manipulator input means in a form of a joystick creating a movement command by using the joystick.

